

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

В

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 07/13/2001 674556-2001 1580 09/909,173 Kwang-Leong Choy 7590 11/20/2002 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP **EXAMINER** 745 Fifth Avenue PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN New York, NY 10151 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1762 DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			//	<u> </u>
Office Action Summary	Application No. 9/909173	Applicant(s)		
	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
			Group Air Offic	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover she	et beneath th	orrespondence ad	ldress—
P riod for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	(OUE)- MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAI	LING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s'</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the reterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	reply within the statutorult, expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the applica	y minimum of thirty ( HS from the mailing of tion to become ABA	30) days will be consid date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. §	lered timely. ation. 133).
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	19/02			
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excel accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19			to the merits is cl	osed in
Disposition of Claims				
(Claim(s) 1-60, 63-65			$_{-}$ is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)			_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)			_ is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)			_ is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
☑ Claim(s) 1-60,63-65				or election
Application Papers	_	require		
☐ Th proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	• •	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Exam	iner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		BEST	AVAILABL	FCOR
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				- 001 1
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19 (a)-(d).		
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		an Na		•
<ul> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	• •		•	
in this national stage application from the Internation				
*Certified copies not received:	•	` ''		_
ttachment(s)				•
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)	☐ Int rview Summary, PTO-413		
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO–892	.,	□ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-15		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO-9	48 -			
·				
Office /	Action Summary			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1762

### BEST AVAILABLE COPY

#### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-40, drawn to coating method, classified in class 427, subclass 483.
  - II. Claims 41-61, drawn to coating apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 620+.
  - III. Claims 62,64, drawn to powder fabrication method, classified in class 264, subclass 12.
  - IV. Claims 63,65, drawn to apparatus for powder fabrication, classified in class 239, subclass 79.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used for another and materially different process such as applying etchant liquids, surface treating/roughening, applying reactants, or applying a liquid onto an unheated substrate.
- 3. Inventions III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be

Application/Control Number: 09/909173

Art Unit: 1762

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Page 3

used for another and materially different process such as coating, deposition of etchants or reactants, surface treating/ roughening, etc

- 4. Inventions I-II and III-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case formation of a solid powder and application aerosol droplets to a heated substrate have different functions and effects and are therefore unrelated inventions.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to T. Kowalski on 10/17/02 and 11/6/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

  Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Art Unit: 1762

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred J. Parker whose telephone number is (703) 308-3474.

FRED J. PARKER PRIMARY EXAMINED

Fred J. Parker

November 18, 2002

r9-909173